UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----X

MEHDI GABAYZADEH,

Petitioner,

ORDER

-against-

13-CV-3594 (JS)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

----X

MEHDI GABAYZADEH,

Petitioner,

-against-

13-CV-3663(JS)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

----X

APPEARANCES

For Petitioner: Mehdi Gabayzadeh, pro se

#68419-053

Cumberland Federal Correctional Institution

Inmate Mail/Parcels

P.O. Box 1000

Cumberland, MD 21501

For Respondent: James M. Miskiewicz, Esq.

United States Attorney's Office Eastern District of New York

610 Federal Plaza

Central Islip, NY 11722

SEYBERT, District Judge:

Pending before the Court are two petitions for relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The first petition was filed by counsel on behalf of Mehdi Gabayzadeh ("Petitioner") on June 24, 2013 as was assigned case number 13-CV-3594 (the "Counseled")

Petition").¹ The second petition was filed by Petitioner pro se on June 27, 2013 and assigned case number 13-CV-3663 (the "Pro Se Petition"). However, according to Second Circuit precedent, "in general, when a § 2255 motion is filed before adjudication of an initial § 2255 is complete, the district court should construe the second § 2255 motion as a motion to amend the pending § 2255 motion." Ching v. United States, 298 F.3d 174, 177 (2d Cir. 2002).

Accordingly, and on consent of Petitioner, the Court hereby:

- 1. Construes the  $\underline{\text{Pro}}$   $\underline{\text{Se}}$  Petition as an application to amend the Counseled Petition, and GRANTS that application;
- 2. VACATES the pending Order to Show Cause why the Counseled Petition should be granted; and
- 3. Directs the Clerk of the Court to docket the <u>Pro Se</u>
  Petition and Memorandum in Support in case number
  13-CV-3594 and to mark case number 13-CV-3663
  CLOSED.

The Court will issue a new order to show cause regarding the  $\underline{\text{Pro}}$  Se Petition in due course.

The Court notes that the <u>Pro Se</u> Petition completely replaces and supersedes the Counseled Petition and shall be the operative Petition going forward. Further, all future filings

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Counsel was granted leave to withdraw at an  $\underline{\text{ex}}$   $\underline{\text{parte}}$  conference before the undersigned on July 25, 2013. Therefore, both Petitions are now technically pro se.

related to the  $\underline{\text{Pro}}$   $\underline{\text{Se}}$  Petition shall be docketed in case number 13-CV-3594 only.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ JOANNA SEYBERT
Joanna Seybert, U.S.D.J.

Dated: July 31, 2013

Central Islip, NY